

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.msplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/761,893	01/17/2001	Shih-Chieh Hung	11709-003001	6011	
Shih-Chieh H	7590 10/12/201	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Dept. of Ortho	Dept. of Orthop. and Traumetology, Vet. General			DUNSTON, JENNIFER ANN	
201, Sec. 2, St Hospital-Taipe			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Taipei, 11217			1636		
TAIWAN					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/12/2010	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/761,893	HUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer Dunston	1636	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since.</li> </ol>
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
<ul> <li>4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim's is for will be just sollows:

Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,6,9-11 and 34-38.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12-20.

#### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41,33(d)(1),
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

#### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other: \_\_\_

/Jennifer Dunston/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1636

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendement to claim 1 would require further search and consideration. The amendment changes the scope of the claim such that the small sized cells that pass through the pores of the upper plate may be hematopoietic cells or non-hematopoietic cells, thereby broadening the scope of the claim. Furthermore, the amendment includes an additional step that was not previously presented in the claim. Thus, the amendment would require further search and consideration.

The proposed addition of new claim 41 raises the issue of new matter. The specification does not provide support for the claimed method where the pores are about 0.4 to 20 microns in diameter. Support for the specific range or a specific pore size of 20 microns could not be found in the originally filed specification.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regard to Applicant's arguments directed to the rejection of record under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, all arguments are directed to the newly amended claims. As discussed above, the amendments have not been entered. Therefore, the arguments are moot and will not be addressed.